


have been obvious in light of only four of the references, which was also overturn by the Federal Circuit.

What was lacking in the *In re Blammer* matter, and what is lacking here is a fair reading of the references as a whole to determine if there is anticipated the present solution to the need for a means by which computer technology can be adapted to calendars to produce a device that alerts the user to upcoming events in a manner that cannot be overlooked.

It is felt that the differences between the present invention and all of these references are such that rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 103, in addition to any other art, relevant or not, is also inappropriate.

Therefore, in view of foregoing amendments and clarifications, the applicant submits that allowance of the present application and all remaining claims, as amended, is in order and is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

  
John D. Gugliotta, P.E., Esq.  
Registration No. 36538

The Law Offices of John D. Gugliotta  
202 Delaware Building  
137 South Main Street  
Akron, OH 44308  
(330) 253-5678  
Facsimile (330) 253-6658